

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

In re:

EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC,
EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC,
EPIC Employee, LLC,
EOLA Capital, LLC, and
EC West Fargo, LLC,

Debtors.¹

Jointly Administered

Bankruptcy No. 24-30281
Bankruptcy No. 24-30282
Bankruptcy No. 24-30283
Bankruptcy No. 24-30284
Bankruptcy No. 24-30285

Chapter 11

**LIGHTHOUSE MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.'S FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO
CLAIMS (INCORRECT CLAIMANT), AS LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE OF THE EPIC
LIQUIDATING TRUST**

This is an objection to your claim. This objection asks the Court to modify the claim you filed in this bankruptcy case. If you do not file a response, your claim may be modified without a hearing.

Claimants receiving this objection should locate their names and claims as listed in Exhibit A.

1. Lighthouse Management Group, Inc. (the “Liquidating Trustee”), as trustee of the EPIC Liquidating Trust (the “Liquidating Trust”), and on behalf of EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC, EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC, EPIC Employee, LLC, EOLA Capital, LLC, and EC West Fargo, LLC (collectively, the “Debtors”), files this fifth omnibus objection (the “Objection”) seeking entry of an order modifying certain claims identified on Exhibit A (the “Contested Claims”), as the claimants’ names are incorrect based on the Debtors’ records.

¹ In accordance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(n) and 1005 and 11 U.S.C. § 342(c), as applicable, the Debtors’ address is c/o Lighthouse Management Group, Inc., 900 Long Lake Road, Suite 180, New Brighton, MN 55112 and their Employer Identification Numbers (EINs) are as follows: 83-2840705 (EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC), 88-3709518 (EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC), 88-4112082 (EPIC Employee, LLC), 88-0554720 (EOLA Capital, LLC) and 82-5331354 (EC West Fargo, LLC).

2. The Liquidating Trustee further seeks the Court's permission to proceed with the Objection as an omnibus objection under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(c) and (d).

3. In support of the Objection, the Liquidating Trustee respectfully states as follows:

JURISDICTION

4. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 5005. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

5. On June 11, 2025, the Debtors filed a joint Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation. (ECF No. 366, the “Plan”). On August 6, 2025, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan and substantively consolidating the Debtors’ assets, liabilities, and operations. (ECF No. 431.) Further, pursuant to the Plan, all assets of the Debtors were transferred to the Liquidating Trust as of August 21, 2025 (the “Effective Date”). (ECF No. 454.)

6. Pursuant to Section 2.1.4 of the Plan, the Liquidating Trustee has the power to object to any claims (disputed or otherwise).

7. Pursuant to Article X of the Plan, the Court retained jurisdiction to, among other things: (a) “[a]llow, disallow, determine, liquidate, reduce, classify, re-classify, estimate, or establish the priority or secured or unsecured status of any claim . . . and the resolution of any objections to the amount, allowance, priority, or classification of claims”; and (b) “[d]ecide or resolve any motions . . . contested or litigated matters, and any other matters . . . that may be pending on the Effective Date or brought thereafter.”

8. This Objection arises under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 502(b). This Objection is filed under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014 and 3007 and Local Rule 9014-1. Notice of this Objection is provided

pursuant to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Notice and Service Requirements adopted pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1.

BACKGROUND

9. On July 8, 2024, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”). (ECF No. 1.)

10. The Office of the United States Trustee conducted the meeting of creditors under 11 U.S.C. § 341 on August 15, 2024. (ECF No. 19.)

11. Accordingly, pursuant to Local Rule 3003-1, the original deadline to file general proofs of claim was September 16, 2024. (*See also* ECF No. 19.)

12. On August 26, 2024, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors filed a motion to extend the deadline for filing general proofs of claim to October 31, 2024 (the “Bar Date”). (ECF No. 97.) The Court granted that motion on September 12, 2024. (ECF No. 106.)

13. To date, 246 proofs of claim have been filed against the Debtors.

14. Upon the passage of the Bar Date, confirmation of the Plan, and substantive consolidation of the Debtors’ assets and liabilities, the Liquidating Trustee has worked diligently to review the proofs of claim, including any supporting documentation provided by the claimants.

15. The Liquidating Trustee has determined that the Contested Claims should be modified as the claimants’ names appear to be incorrect based on the Debtors’ records.

16. The Liquidating Trustee also determined that it is most efficient to file this Objection as an omnibus objection to ensure the preservation of trust resources. Otherwise, the Liquidating Trustee would be forced to file separate, individual objections on the same exact basis, resulting in additional administrative expenses.

RELIEF REQUESTED

17. The Liquidating Trustee seeks entry of an order: (a) modifying the Contested Claims identified on Exhibit A as the claimants' names appear to be incorrect based on the Debtors' records; and (b) permitting the use of an omnibus objection under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(c) and (d).

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

18. Section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, that “[a] claim . . . , proof of which is filed under section 501 of [the Bankruptcy Code], is deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

19. Similarly, under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f), “[a] proof of claim signed and filed in accordance with [the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure] is prima facie evidence of the claim’s validity and amount.” *See also In re Sears*, 863 F.3d 973, 977 (8th Cir. 2017).

20. To overcome a claim’s presumptive validity, the objecting party must produce evidence establishing that the claim fits within one of the exceptions in 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) such that the claim should be disallowed or modified. *In re AFY, Inc.*, 463 B.R. 483, 488 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2012); *In re Dove-Nation*, 318 B.R. 147, 152 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2004).

21. Once evidence is produced by the objecting party, the claimant generally has the burden to prove its claim by a preponderance of the evidence. 9 Collier on Bankr. ¶ 3001.09[2] (16th ed. 2023).

22. Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides:

(b) Except as provided in subsections (e)(2), (f), (g), (h) and (i) of this section, if such objection to a claim is made, the court, after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount, except to the extent that—

(1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured . . .

11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

23. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3007(d) further provides certain grounds upon which “objections to more than one claim may be joined in an omnibus objection.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d). While Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d) does not refer to claims in which a debtor disputes the claimant’s name, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(c) allows the Court to permit other grounds for omnibus objections.

24. As mentioned above, the Liquidating Trustee has worked diligently to review the proofs of claim, including any supporting documentation provided by the claimants. The Liquidating Trustee has determined that the Contested Claims identified on Exhibit A should be modified to correct the claimant’s name.

25. Failure to modify the Contested Claims could result in incorrect or unfair recoveries to the detriment of other creditors. Moreover, modification of the Contested Claims will result in a more accurate claims register.

CONCLUSION

26. For the foregoing reasons, the Liquidating Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order modifying the Contested Claims identified on Exhibit A.

27. Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(d), this Objection is supported by the verification of facts in the Affidavit of Patrick Finn attached hereto.

28. A proposed order is included with this Objection.

29. Pursuant to Local Rule 9014-1(B), the Liquidating Trustee hereby gives notice that it may, if necessary, call Patrick Finn, a Partner at Lighthouse Management Group, Inc., whose

business address is 900 Long Lake Road, Suite 180, New Brighton, Minnesota 55112, to testify regarding the facts set forth in the Objection.

30. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Liquidating Trustee to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE, the Liquidating Trustee respectfully requests that this Court enter an order:

- A. Modifying the Contested Claims identified on Exhibit A; and
- B. Granting such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: December 18, 2025

/e/ Steven R. Kinsella

Michael S. Raum (#05676)

FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.

51 Broadway, Suite 400

Fargo, ND 58102-4991

701.237.8200

mraum@fredlaw.com

Steven R. Kinsella (#09514)

Katherine A. Nixon (*pro hac vice* MN #0402772)

FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.

60 South 6th Street, Suite 1500

Minneapolis, MN 55402-4400

612.492.7000

skinsella@fredlaw.com

knixon@fredlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE

AFFIDAVIT

I, Patrick Finn, am a Partner at Lighthouse Management Group, Inc., the Liquidating Trustee of the EPIC Liquidating Trust, and I declare under penalty of perjury that the facts set forth in the preceding objection are true and correct, according to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: December 18, 2025

/s/ Patrick Finn

Patrick Finn
Partner, Lighthouse Management Group, Inc.
Liquidating Trustee of the EPIC Liquidating Trust

EXHIBIT A

<u>Claimant (Alphabetical Order)</u>	<u>Claim Objected To</u>	<u>Reason for Objection</u>	<u>New Claimant Name</u>	<u>Cross- Reference to Relevant Pages</u>
Amanda Mack	Claim 93 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Tyler & Amanda Mack	1-6 of Objection
Beth Holmes	Claim 6 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Kim & Beth Holmes	1-6 of Objection
Donna Klein	Claim 94 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Donna & Michael Klein	1-6 of Objection
Donna Klein	Claim 38 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Donna & Michael Klein	1-6 of Objection
Dwight Schmidt	Claim 4 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Dwight & Gwen Schmidt	1-6 of Objection
Gerald Iverson	Claim 87 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Gerald & Connie Iverson	1-6 of Objection
James (Jim) J Johnson	Claim 3 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	James J. Johnson 2003 Separate Property Trust	1-6 of Objection
Kelly D. Morelli	Claim 39 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Matthew P. & Kelly D. Morelli	1-6 of Objection
Leon Vandeberg	Claim 6 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Leon or Janell Vandeberg	1-6 of Objection
Lonnie Hass	Claim 2 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Lonnie & Mary Hass	1-6 of Objection
Lynn Wadeson	Claim 90 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Wadeson Properties, LLC	1-6 of Objection
Mark Ahmann	Claim 17 – EPIC Companies	Incorrect claimant name	Mark & Terese Ahmann	1-6 of Objection

<u>Claimant (Alphabetical Order)</u>	<u>Claim Objected To</u>	<u>Reason for Objection</u>	<u>New Claimant Name</u>	<u>Cross- Reference to Relevant Pages</u>
	Midwest 2023, LLC			
Matthew P. Morelli	Claim 40 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Matthew P. & Kelly D. Morelli	1-6 of Objection
Michael Edward Thompson	Claim 98 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Michael & Jill Thompson	1-6 of Objection
Michael Nilson	Claim 116 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Michael & Susan Nilson	1-6 of Objection
Nadine Marie Schutz	Claim 47 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Westbrand & Co FBO Nadine Marie Schutz S/D IRA	1-6 of Objection
Randy Henke	Claim 16 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Randy & Dorothy Henke	1-6 of Objection
Randy Henke	Claim 3 – EOLA Capital, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Randy & Dorothy Henke	1-6 of Objection
Todd Brown	Claim 33 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Incorrect claimant name	Todd & Cindy Brown	1-6 of Objection

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

In re:

EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC,
EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC,
EPIC Employee, LLC,
EOLA Capital, LLC, and
EC West Fargo, LLC,

Debtors.

Jointly Administered

Bankruptcy No. 24-30281
Bankruptcy No. 24-30282
Bankruptcy No. 24-30283
Bankruptcy No. 24-30284
Bankruptcy No. 24-30285

Chapter 11

ORDER SUSTAINING LIGHTHOUSE MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.’S FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (INCORRECT CLAIMANT), AS LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE OF THE EPIC LIQUIDATING TRUST

On December 18, 2025, Lighthouse Management Group, Inc., as the Liquidating Trustee for the EPIC Liquidating Trust (the “Liquidating Trustee”), filed its fifth omnibus objection to certain claims on the basis that the named claimant is incorrect. (Doc. ___.) The Liquidating Trustee properly served the objection and notice of the hearing on the objection. (Doc. ___.) The Court did not receive any responses to the objection. Based on the record before the Court, the Court finds that sustaining the objection is in the best interest of the EPIC Liquidating Trust and its creditors.

Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED:**

1. The objection (Doc. ___) is **SUSTAINED**.
2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(c) and (d), the objection is proper as an omnibus objection.
3. Each claim identified below is **MODIFIED** as follows:

<u>Claimant</u>	<u>Claim No.</u>	<u>New Claimant Name</u>
Amanda Mack	Claim 93 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Tyler & Amanda Mack

<u>Claimant</u>	<u>Claim No.</u>	<u>New Claimant Name</u>
Beth Holmes	Claim 6 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Kim & Beth Holmes
Donna Klein	Claim 94 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Donna & Michael Klein
Donna Klein	Claim 38 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Donna & Michael Klein
Dwight Schmidt	Claim 4 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Dwight & Gwen Schmidt
Gerald Iverson	Claim 87 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Gerald & Connie Iverson
James (Jim) J Johnson	Claim 3 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	James J. Johnson 2003 Separate Property Trust
Kelly D. Morelli	Claim 39 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Matthew P. & Kelly D. Morelli
Leon Vandeberg	Claim 6 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Leon or Janell Vandeberg
Lonnie Hass	Claim 2 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Lonnie & Mary Hass
Lynn Wadeson	Claim 90 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Wadeson Properties, LLC
Mark Ahmann	Claim 17 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Mark & Terese Ahmann
Matthew P. Morelli	Claim 40 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Matthew P. & Kelly D. Morelli
Michael Edward Thompson	Claim 98 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Michael & Jill Thompson
Michael Nilson	Claim 116 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Michael & Susan Nilson
Nadine Marie Schutz	Claim 47 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Westbrand & Co FBO Nadine Marie Schutz S/D IRA
Randy Henke	Claim 16 – EPIC Companies Midwest, LLC	Randy & Dorothy Henke
Randy Henke	Claim 3 – EOLA Capital, LLC	Randy & Dorothy Henke
Todd Brown	Claim 33 – EPIC Companies Midwest 2023, LLC	Todd & Cindy Brown

4. This order is immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

5. The Liquidating Trustee is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this order.

6. The Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this order.

Dated this ____ day of January, 2026.

Shon Hastings, Judge
United States Bankruptcy Court